

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/593,828	09/22/2006	Kazuyoshi Toriyama	723-1984	4629		
27562 NIXON & VA	7590 05/31/201 NDERHYE, P.C.	1	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ORR, HENRY W			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2175				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/31/2011	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· · ·	TORIYAMA, KAZUYOSHI	
10/593,828		
Examiner	Art Unit	
HENRY ORR	2175	

		HENRY ORR	2175	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 02 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. 🖾 Th thi pla a f tim	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on s application, applicant must timely file one of the follow cess the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance to periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff titee of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🗖	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	as of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date if filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
filir	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
(a) (b)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d)	appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
5. A 6. N	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	·	,
7. A Fo	rpurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with enew or amended claims would be rejected is proest at the claims of the claims and the claims are status of the claims (s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an is not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUE	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consid			
b	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.			
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. C	ther: .			

/Adam L Basehoar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178

/Henry Orr/

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Gelsinger falls to teach displaying a window corresponding to the selection area that said detector detects as the first predetermined upon on said second display area. This is because the window corresponding to that icon on the task bar is already displayed in the If stigsplay area and Gelsinger does not disclose that clicking on the icon with a mouse or something equivalent will cause the window to most o a second display area (see Response; pages 12 and 13). Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner notes that the claim language does NOT expressly teach the window to move to a second display area "Instead, the recited window is merely displayed. In other works the location of the recited displayed window is not necessitated by the claims. At the very best, the predetermined input is on the second display area and not that the window is displayed on the predetermined area. Examiner suggest to Applicant to amend the claims to larger vicet the recided window being displayed in the second display area in response to the first predetermined input. For at least the foregoing reasons, Examiner maintains prior art relections.